UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,373	09/17/2003	Michael Charles Raufman	7792C	4564
27752 7590 06/14/2007 THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION - WEST BLDG. WINTON HILL BUSINESS CENTER - BOX 412 6250 CENTER HILL AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			KIDWELL, MICHELE M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CINCINNATI,	CINCINNATI, OH 45224			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.





#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Application Number: 10/664,373 Filing Date: September 17, 2003 Appellant(s): RAUFMAN ET AL.

MAILED JUN 14 2007 GROUP 3700

Charles Matson For Appellant

**EXAMINER'S ANSWER** 

This is in response to the appeal brief filed January 26, 2007 appealing from the Office action mailed August 28, 2006.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,373

Art Unit: 3761

Page 2

## (1) Real Party in Interest

A statement identifying by name the real party in interest is contained in the brief.

# (2) Related Appeals and Interferences

The examiner is not aware of any related appeals, interferences, or judicial proceedings which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

## (3) Status of Claims

The statement of the status of claims contained in the brief is correct.

## (4) Status of Amendments After Final

The appellant's statement of the status of amendments after final rejection contained in the brief is correct.

## (5) Summary of Claimed Subject Matter

The summary of claimed subject matter contained in the brief is correct.

# (6) Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal

The appellant's statement of the grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal is correct.

# (7) Claims Appendix

The copy of the appealed claims contained in the Appendix to the brief is correct.

# (8) Evidence Relied Upon

6,045,543

POZNIAK ET AL.

4-2000

Art Unit: 3761

## (9) Grounds of Rejection

The following ground(s) of rejection are applicable to the appealed claims:

Claims 1 – 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pozniak et al. (US 6,045,543).

As to claim 1, Pozniak et al. (hereinafter referred to as "Pozniak") discloses a disposable absorbent article (8) comprising (a) a chassis, a garment-facing surface and a body-facing surface, the chassis adapted to extend from a back waist area of a wearer to a front waist area of a wearer with the body-facing surface overlying the crotch area of the wearer, the chassis including a first waist region, a second waist region and a crotch region (figure 1); (b) a pair of side panels extending substantially laterally outward from respective edge portions of the second waist region of the article (figure 5), the side panels each carrying a securement element for engagement with the garment-facing surface at the first waist region of the article for securing the article in a wearing position on the wearer (figure 5 - elements 36A and 36B); (c) a predetermined ornamental visual image consisting of a first image element and a second image element, wherein the first image element is joined to one of the pair of side panels and the second image element is joined to another of the pair of side panels, wherein when the side panels are secured to the first waist region the first and second image elements complete the predetermined ornamental visual image, and wherein the predetermined ornamental visual image is visible when the side panels are secured to the first waist region as set forth in col. 3, lines 8 - 19 and figures 2 - 5.

Art Unit: 3761

With reference to claim 2, Pozniak discloses an article wherein the securement elements include fastener tabs that have a predetermined ornamental shape as set forth in figure 5.

## (10) Response to Argument

With respect to the applicant's argument that Pozniak does not teach or suggest a predetermined visual image consisting o a first image element and a second image element that complete the visual image when the side panels are secured to the first waist region, the examiner disagrees. Pozniak provides a first image element, for example 48A as shown in figures 2 and 4, joined to one of the pair of side panels (left side panel). Pozniak also shows a second image element, 48A as shown in figures 2 and 4 joined to the another of the pair of side panels (right side panel). When the two side panels are secured to the first waist region the first and second image elements complete the predetermined ornamental visual image (i.e., the entire line across the front of the article) as shown in figure 4. The arguments filed January 26, 2007 state that the Office Action mischaracterizes the indicia lines of three different areas as first and second image elements, but this is not the case. The examiner does not equate the middle to either of the first and/or second image element. The middle is relied on to show the completion of the predetermined ornamental visual image once the first and second image elements have been secured to the first waist region. If one of ordinary skill in the art is able to "at once envisage" the specific embodiment within the generic teaching, the embodiment is anticipated. MPEP 2131.02.

Art Unit: 3761

# (11) Related Proceeding(s) Appendix

No decision rendered by a court or the Board is identified by the examiner in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of this examiner's answer.

For the above reasons, it is believed that the rejections should be sustained.

Respectfully submitted,

Michele Kidwell

Conferees:

Tatyana Zalukaeva

**Supervisory Patent Examiner** 

Art Unit 3761

Angela Sykes

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3762